

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MIA ANNE MCDONALD,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01445-RFB-NJK

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 24) of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered August 22, 2018.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by September 5, 2018. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 24) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commissioner's countermotion to affirm (Docket
4 No. 19) and supplemental motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 22) are DENIED, and that
5 this case is REMANDED for further proceeding.

6 The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff
7

8 DATED this 21st day of September, 2018.
9

10 
11 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28